

On the Renormalizability of Hořava–Lifshitz–type Gravities

Domenico Orlando and Susanne Reffert

*Institute for the Mathematics and Physics of the Universe,
The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa-no-Ha 5-1-5,
Kashiwa-shi, 277-8568 Chiba, Japan.*

ABSTRACT

In this note, we discuss the renormalizability of Hořava–Lifshitz–type gravity theories. Using the fact that Hořava–Lifshitz gravity is very closely related to the stochastic quantization of topologically massive gravity, we show that the renormalizability of HL gravity only depends on the renormalizability of topologically massive gravity. This is a consequence of the BRST and time–reversal symmetries pertinent to theories satisfying the detailed balance condition.

1 Introduction

In [1], Hořava studies a non-Lorentz invariant theory of gravity in $3 + 1$ dimensions inspired by the *Lifshitz model*, which has appeared in the condensed matter context (see e.g. [2]). One of the main features of this theory is that unlike Einstein gravity, it is renormalizable by power-counting arguments. Hořava–Lifshitz (HL) gravity has sparked a lot of interest. A number of follow-up works have been published concerning its solutions (see e.g. [3, 4]) and cosmological implications (see e.g. [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]), but many fundamental questions have not yet been answered. In this present note, we investigate the *renormalizability* of this model in more detail.

Lifshitz-type models exhibit anisotropic scaling between space and time. The amount of this anisotropy is captured by the *dynamical critical exponent* z . In Lorentz-invariant theories, $z = 1$, while in the gravity theory proposed in [1], z is set equal to 3 to make the theory renormalizable by power counting. *Stochastic quantization* [13, 14, 15] proves to be a useful tool in the study of Lifshitz-type models. In [16], we have argued that the (scalar) quantum Lifshitz model can be understood as resulting from the stochastic quantization of the free boson in one dimension less. We have further argued that adopting the manifestly supersymmetric formalism for stochastic quantization [17], which calls for the inclusion of fermionic terms into the action, allows the consistent study of the quantum Lifshitz model and generalizations thereof.

In this note, we follow the same philosophy. As already pointed out in [18], also HL gravity can be understood as the result of a stochastic quantization, namely of *topologically massive gravity* [19, 20]. Using arguments similar to those presented in [21], we will show that (super) Hořava–Lifshitz gravity is indeed renormalizable, at least if *detailed balance* is respected, and provided that its precursor theory, topologically massive gravity, is renormalizable, as discussed in [20]. Our main tools are the structure of the action which is implied by the detailed balance condition, and the general properties exhibited by theories resulting from stochastic quantization (SQ). The main object in SQ is the *Langevin equation*, a stochastic differential equation which governs the time evolution of the field which is quantized. In the process of stochastic quantization, a D -dimensional Euclidean field theory is turned into a $(D + 1)$ -dimensional quantum field theory, in which a new time direction has been added. This new time direction is necessarily on a different footing than the D dimensions of the original theory, so such a theory is in general not Lorentz invariant. A nice property of the resulting $(D + 1)$ -dimensional theory is that it automatically exhibits a supersymmetry in the new time dimension. Even though the resulting theory is in general not Lorentz invariant, its structure is thus very constrained and many of its properties depend largely on the original D -dimensional theory.

In fact, we will argue that the renormalizability of HL gravity rests on the renormalizability of the underlying topologically massive gravity. The additional structure of HL gravity

can be understood in terms of the SQ process. We will show that this structure implied by detailed balance, and thus of the Langevin equation, is not altered by the renormalization group flow. To show this, we make use of the BRST symmetry of the theory and the time reversal symmetry of the unrenormalized action. These two symmetries together constrain the form of the renormalized theory. To make use of the BRST symmetry, we adopt a formulation of SQ which calls for the introduction of fermionic fields. It is argued in [15] that the diagrammatic contributions of the fermions to equal-time correlators are cancelled by contributions from lines joining auxiliary fields to the field that is being quantized. As long as one remains on the same time-slice, it is thus possible to completely drop all anti-commuting fields from the action, which in this case recovers precisely Hořava's action without the fermionic fields we are working with.

As mentioned before, we make use of the detailed balance condition. It was argued in [10] that this condition is phenomenologically undesirable. Our result does not extend to HL-type theories with additional terms which break detailed balance. For such cases, a different course must be pursued to find arguments for their renormalizability beyond power counting.

The plan of this note is the following. In Section 2, we will briefly recall the essentials of HL gravity. In Section 3, we will review some basic facts about stochastic quantization and apply them to the case at hand. In Section 4, the renormalization properties of HL gravity are discussed. In Section 5, we will summarize our results.

2 Preliminaries

We will be very brief in reviewing the action of HL gravity and only give the most necessary definitions without further explanation. For the details, we refer the reader to [1].

Since HL theory has anisotropic scaling, the spacetime $\mathcal{M}$ has the structure of a *codimension one foliation* with topology $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$, and the theory is designed to be invariant under the foliation-preserving diffeomorphism group $\text{Diff}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{M})$. A Riemannian metric on such a manifold can be decomposed à la ADM into the metric g_{ij} induced along the leaves of the foliation, the *shift variable* N_i and the *lapse field* N .

The basic objects that appear in the theory are the *second fundamental form*

$$K_{ij} = \frac{1}{2N}(\dot{g}_{ij} - \nabla_i N_j - \nabla_j N_i), \quad (2.1)$$

and the De Witt metric on the space of metrics

$$\mathcal{G}^{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} \left(g^{ik} g^{jl} + g^{il} g^{jk} \right) - \lambda g^{ij} g^{kl}. \quad (2.2)$$

The parameter λ is free, the value $\lambda = 1$ would be required for the full $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$ invariance to hold. The full action of HL gravity is given by

$$S = \int dt d^3x N \sqrt{g} \left\{ \frac{2}{\kappa^2} K_{ij} \mathcal{G}^{ijkl} K_{kl} - \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \left[\frac{1}{w^2} C^{ij} - \frac{\mu}{2} \left(R^{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R g^{ij} + \Lambda_W g^{ij} \right) \right] \times \right. \\ \left. \times \mathcal{G}_{ijkl} \left[\frac{1}{w^2} C^{kl} - \frac{\mu}{2} \left(R^{kl} - \frac{1}{2} R g^{kl} + \Lambda_W g^{kl} \right) \right] \right\}. \quad (2.3)$$

Here, κ , λ and w are dimensionless coupling constants. The coupling constant μ has dimension 1, $[\Lambda_W] = 2$, and C^{ij} is the *Cotton tensor*. The lack of Poincaré invariance of the theory is reflected by the fact that the indices $i, j, \dots$ only refer to the coordinates on Σ and the covariant derivative ∇_i is taken with respect to the metric g_{ij} .

A convenient rewriting of the action is obtained by introducing an auxiliary field B^{ij} and observing that the quadratic term is the variation of the action for topologically massive gravity with respect to the metric:

$$\frac{1}{w^2} C^{ij} - \frac{\mu}{2} \left(R^{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R g^{ij} + \Lambda_W g^{ij} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\delta S_{\text{cl}}(g)}{\delta g_{ij}}, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$S_{\text{cl}} = \frac{1}{w^2} \int \omega_3(\Gamma) + \mu \int d^3x \sqrt{g} (R - 2\Lambda_W), \quad (2.5)$$

with the gravitational Chern–Simons term given by

$$\omega_3(\Gamma) = \text{Tr} \left(\Gamma \wedge d\Gamma + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma \wedge \Gamma \wedge \Gamma \right) = \epsilon^{ijk} \left(\Gamma_{il}^m \partial_j \Gamma_{km}^l + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma_{il}^n \Gamma_{jm}^l \Gamma_{kn}^m \right) d^3x, \quad (2.6)$$

where Γ_{km}^l are the Christoffel symbols. Finally, one finds that

$$S = \int dt d^3x N \sqrt{g} \left\{ B^{ij} \left(K_{ij} + \mathcal{G}_{ijkl} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\delta S_{\text{cl}}}{\delta g_{kl}} \right) - B^{ij} \mathcal{G}_{ijkl} B^{kl} \right\}. \quad (2.7)$$

We will refer to actions of this form as satisfying the *detailed balance condition*.

3 HL gravity and stochastic quantization

As already hinted in [1], it is possible to understand HL gravity as the result of stochastically quantizing topologically massive gravity. In the following, we will make use of this connection to exploit the renormalization properties of stochastically quantized theories. We will follow the notation used in [14].

In the case of a scalar theory, stochastic quantization of a Euclidean field theory in d dimensions works as follows. We supplement the field $\phi(x)$ with an extra time dimension

t (which must not be confused with the Euclidean time x_0). Then we demand that the time evolution of $\phi(x, t)$ obeys a stochastic differential equation, the Langevin equation, which allows the relaxation to equilibrium:

$$\frac{\partial \phi(x, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\delta S_{\text{cl}}}{\delta \phi} + \eta(x, t), \quad (3.1)$$

with S_{cl} the Euclidean action. A stochastic equation of this type, where the flow depends on the gradient of a function of the field is said to satisfy the *detailed balance condition*. The correlations of η , which is a white Gaussian noise, are given by

$$\langle \eta(x, t) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \eta(x_1, t_1) \eta(x_2, t_2) \rangle = 2 \delta(t_1 - t_2) \delta^d(x_1 - x_2). \quad (3.2)$$

Equation (3.1) has to be solved given an initial condition at $t = t_0$ leading to an η -dependent solution $\phi_\eta(x, t)$. As a consequence, also $\phi_\eta(x, t)$ is now a stochastic variable. Its correlation functions are defined by

$$\langle \phi_\eta(x_1, t_1) \dots \phi_\eta(x_k, t_k) \rangle_\eta = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\eta \exp \left[-\frac{1}{4} \int d^d x dt \eta^2(x, t) \right] \phi_\eta(x_1, t_1) \dots \phi_\eta(x_k, t_k)}{\int \mathcal{D}\eta \exp \left[-\frac{1}{4} \int d^d x dt \eta^2(x, t) \right]}. \quad (3.3)$$

One of the central points for stochastic quantization is that equilibrium is reached for $t \rightarrow \infty$, and that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle \phi_\eta(x_1, t) \dots \phi_\eta(x_k, t) \rangle_\eta = \langle \phi(x_1) \dots \phi(x_k) \rangle, \quad (3.4)$$

i.e. that the equal time correlators for ϕ_η tend to the corresponding quantum Green's functions.

Stochastic quantization of gravity theories

In order to apply this formalism to HL gravity, we need to write down a $\text{Diff}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{M})$ -invariant Langevin equation for the quantization of the classical action in Eq. (2.5). In particular, even though the field variable is g_{ij} , it was argued in [1] that instead of $\dot{g}_{ij}$, the second fundamental form K_{ij} (2.1) should appear on the l.h.s. of the Langevin equation to maintain $\text{Diff}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{M})$ invariance. To reduce the amount of possibly confusing indices, we introduce some notation (see also [22]).

- In terms of $\mathcal{G}$, the metric on 3d space is a contravariant vector,

$$g_{ij} =: g^I; \quad (3.5)$$

- variations with respect to the metric g_{ij} are indicated by ∂_I :

$$\partial_I W(g) =: \frac{\delta W(g)}{\delta g_{ij}}; \quad (3.6)$$

- the metric on the space of metrics is expressed as a covariant metric tensor,

$$G^{ijkl} = \sqrt{g} \mathcal{G}^{ijkl} =: G_{IJ}. \quad (3.7)$$

Note the presence of the $\sqrt{g}$ term which did not appear in Eq. (2.2). The metric G_{IJ} is the unique metric (up to the choice of the parameter λ) with respect to which coordinate transformations of g_{ij} are isometries (see [23]).

Indices of type I, J are raised and lowered using G_{IJ} and its inverse, G^{IJ} ,

$$G_{IJ} G^{JK} = \delta_I^K, \quad (3.8)$$

which in terms of space indices should be read as

$$G^{ijmn} G_{mnkl} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta_k^i \delta_l^j + \delta_l^i \delta_k^j \right). \quad (3.9)$$

In the following, we will need the *vielbein* on the space of metrics,

$$E_A^I E_B^J G_{IJ} = \delta_{AB}. \quad (3.10)$$

Now we are ready to write down the Langevin equation for HL gravity:

$$K^I = -G^{IJ} \partial_J S_{\text{cl}} + \eta^I, \quad (3.11)$$

where η is a noise. The measure for the noise η^I depends on the 3d metric g_{ij} , which via the Langevin equation (3.11) in turn depends on η^I . This would introduce non-linearities in the path integral that can be avoided if, using the vielbein, we introduce a new noise η^A that is actually Gaussian. Its correlators are then defined independently of g_{ij} , in terms of δ_{AB} . More precisely,

$$\eta^A = E_A^I \eta^I, \quad \eta^I = \eta^A E_A^I, \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$\langle \eta^A(x, t) \eta^B(x', t') \rangle = \frac{2}{N(t)} \delta(x - x') \delta(t - t') \delta^{AB}. \quad (3.13)$$

(Note that indices A, B in the non-coordinate basis and are raised and lowered with δ_{AB}). We can now express equation (3.11) in terms of η^A (here expressed in the coordinates of the

D -dimensional manifold):

$$K_{ij} = -\mathcal{G}_{ijkl} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\delta S_{\text{cl}}}{\delta g_{kl}} + \eta^{\alpha\beta} E_{\alpha\beta}{}^{ij}. \quad (3.14)$$

Because of the Langevin equation, the metric becomes a stochastic function. Its generating functional is given by

$$Z(J) = \langle e^{\int dt d^3x N J_I g^I} \rangle_\eta = \int \mathcal{D}\eta \exp \left[-\frac{1}{4} \int dt d^3x N \left(\eta^A \eta_A \right) + \int dt d^3x N J^I g_I \right]. \quad (3.15)$$

Let M_I^J be the variation

$$M_I^J(x, t) = \partial_J \left(K^I + G^{IK} \partial_K S_{\text{cl}} - \eta^I \right) = \tilde{M}_I^J - \eta^A \partial_I E_A^J. \quad (3.16)$$

Then the following identity holds:

$$1 = \int \mathcal{D}g \det(M) \delta \left(K^I + G^{IK} \partial_K S_{\text{cl}} - \eta^I \right). \quad (3.17)$$

The two factors in Eq. (3.17) can be expressed in terms of a bosonic auxiliary field B and two fermionic auxiliary fields $\bar{\psi}, \psi$:

$$\det(M) = \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \mathcal{D}\psi \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int dt d^3x N \bar{\psi}_I M_I^J \psi^J \right], \quad (3.18)$$

$$\delta \left(K^I + G^{IK} \partial_K S_{\text{cl}} - \eta^I \right) = \int \mathcal{D}B \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int dt d^3x N B_I (K^I + G^{IK} \partial_K S_{\text{cl}} - \eta^I) \right]. \quad (3.19)$$

Plugging the identity (3.17) into the generating functional (3.15), one obtains:

$$Z(J) = \int \mathcal{D}\eta \mathcal{D}g \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}B \exp \left[-S(g, \eta, \bar{\psi}, \psi, B) + \int dt d^3x N J^I g_I \right], \quad (3.20)$$

where

$$S(g, \eta, \bar{\psi}, \psi, B) = \frac{1}{2} \int dt d^3x N \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \eta^A \eta_A + B_I (K^I + G^{IK} \partial_K S_{\text{cl}} - \eta^I) + \right. \\ \left. - \bar{\psi}_I \tilde{M}_I^J \psi^J + \bar{\psi}_I \eta^A \partial_I E_A^J \psi^J \right\}. \quad (3.21)$$

Since the measure for η^A is Gaussian, one can perform the path integral. This is equivalent to plugging the equation of motion,

$$\eta_A = E_A^I B_I - \partial_I E_A^I \bar{\psi}_I \psi^I, \quad (3.22)$$

into the action. With this,

$$Z(J) = \int \mathcal{D}g \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}B \exp \left[-S(g, \bar{\psi}, \psi, B) + \int dt d^3x N J^I g_I \right], \quad (3.23)$$

where

$$S(g, \bar{\psi}, \psi, B) = \frac{1}{2} \int dt d^3x N \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(E_A^I B_I - \partial_J E_A^I \bar{\psi}_I \psi^J \right) \delta^{AB} \left(E_B^K B_K - \partial_L E_B^K \bar{\psi}_K \psi^L \right) + \right. \\ \left. + B_I (K^I + G^{IK} \partial_K S_{\text{cl}}) - \bar{\psi}_I \partial_J (K^I + G^{IK} \partial_K S_{\text{cl}}) \psi^J \right]. \quad (3.24)$$

Rearranging the quadratic term, using $E_A^I E_B^K \delta^{AB} = G^{IK}$, and rescaling B^{ij} , the bosonic part of the action (in space coordinates) is given by

$$S_B(g, B) = \frac{1}{2} \int dt d^3x N \sqrt{g} \left[-B^{ij} \mathcal{G}_{ijkl} B^{kl} + B^{ij} \left(K_{ij} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \mathcal{G}_{ijkl} \frac{\delta S_{\text{cl}}}{\delta g_{kl}} \right) \right]. \quad (3.25)$$

which recovers precisely the HL action in Eq. (2.7).

It is a common feature of stochastic quantization [14, 15] that if one considers only equal time correlators for the metric (*i.e.* correlators on the same leaf of the foliation) the diagrammatic contributions of the fermions are exactly cancelled by the contributions from lines joining the B field to the metric. In this case one can limit oneself to the bosonic part alone.

BRST invariance

The key point of our argument is that after adding the fermions, the action $S(g, \bar{\psi}, \psi, B)$ is invariant under a BRST *symmetry* which is generated by

$$\begin{cases} \delta_\epsilon g^I = \bar{\epsilon} \psi^I \\ \delta_\epsilon \psi^I = 0 \\ \delta_\epsilon \bar{\psi}_I = \bar{\epsilon} B_I \\ \delta_\epsilon B_I = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.26)$$

where $\bar{\epsilon}$ is a Grassmann variable. In fact, the variation of S is given by

$$\delta_\epsilon S = -\delta_\epsilon \left(E_A^I B_I - \partial_J E_A^I \bar{\psi}_I \psi^J \right) \delta^{AB} \left(E_B^K B_K - \partial_L E_B^K \bar{\psi}_K \psi^L \right) + \\ + B_I \delta_\epsilon (K^I + G^{IK} \partial_K S_{\text{cl}}) - \delta_\epsilon \bar{\psi}_I \tilde{M}^I_J \psi^J - \bar{\psi}_I \delta_\epsilon \tilde{M}^I_J \psi^J. \quad (3.27)$$

We find that:

- the variation of the quadratic term gives

$$\delta_\epsilon(E_A^I B_I - \partial_J E_A^I \bar{\psi}_I \psi^J) = B_I \partial_K E_A^I \bar{\epsilon} \psi^K - \bar{\epsilon} \partial_J E_A^I B_I \psi^J - \bar{\epsilon} \psi^K \partial_K \partial_J E_A^I \bar{\psi}_I \psi^J = 0, \quad (3.28)$$

since the first two terms cancel each other and the last term vanishes because $\partial_K \partial_J$ is symmetric under exchange of the indices and $\psi^K \psi^J$ is antisymmetric.

- The other variations vanish, since

$$B_I \delta_\epsilon(K^I + G^{IK} \partial_K S_{\text{cl}}) - \delta_\epsilon \bar{\psi}_I \tilde{M}^I_J \psi^J = B_I \bar{\epsilon} \psi^J \tilde{M}^I_J - \bar{\epsilon} B_I \tilde{M}^I_J \psi^J = 0, \quad (3.29)$$

and

$$-\bar{\psi}_I \bar{\epsilon} \psi^K \partial_K \tilde{M}^I_J \psi^J = -\bar{\psi}_I \bar{\epsilon} \psi^K \partial_K \partial_J (K^I + G^{IL} \partial_L S_{\text{cl}}) \psi^J = 0, \quad (3.30)$$

where we used the fact that $\tilde{M}$ is the variation of $K^I + G^{IK} \partial_K S_{\text{cl}}$.

Note that the BRST symmetry could also be reinterpreted as a supersymmetry in the time direction by introducing a superfield $\mathcal{G}^I = g^I + \bar{\theta} \psi^I + \theta \bar{\psi}^I + \theta \bar{\theta} B$. One should nevertheless be careful because of the presence of the $\nabla_i N_j$ terms (which are analogous to gauge-fixing terms in the stochastic quantization of gauge theories). Moreover, since the “classical action” in Eq. (2.5) is not bounded from below, supersymmetry would be spontaneously broken.

4 Renormalization properties of HL-type gravity

From the form of the action in Eq. (3.24) one can read off the dimensions of the auxiliary fields. In detail,

$$[B^{ij}] = 3 \quad [\psi_{ij}] + [\bar{\psi}^{ij}] = 3 \quad [g_{ij}] = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

This means that after renormalization, the most general form of the action is (up to a rescaling of time)

$$S^{(R)}(g, \bar{\psi}, \psi, B) = \int dt d^3x N \left\{ A^{IJ}(g) B_I B_J + C^{IJ}_K(g) B_I \bar{\psi}_J \psi^K + D^{IJ}_{KL}(g) \bar{\psi}_I \bar{\psi}_J \psi^K \psi^L + \right. \\ \left. + B_I F^{(R)I}(g, \partial g) + \bar{\psi}_I H^I_J(g, \partial g) \psi^J + I(g, \partial g) \right\}, \quad (4.2)$$

where A, C, D are tensors of dimension zero and depend only of the metric g_{ij} . $F^{(R)}$ and H have dimension less or equal than three and are functions of the metric and its derivatives, and $I(g, \partial g)$ is a function of the metric and its derivatives of dimension less than six.

Constraints from BRST

The renormalization group flow preserves the BRST symmetry generated by the relations in Eq. (3.26). It follows that the effective action $S^{(R)}(g, \bar{\psi}, \psi, B)$ satisfies the Ward identity

$$\psi^I \frac{\delta S^{(R)}}{\delta g^I} + B_I \frac{\delta S^{(R)}}{\delta \bar{\psi}_I} = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

In detail, by counting the powers of B and ψ we see that:

- The terms coming from the variations of A, C and D cancel each other.
- The terms coming from $F^{(R)}$ and H cancel each other.
- $\delta_\epsilon I(g, \partial g) = 0$ which implies $I(g, \partial g) = 0$ up to an irrelevant constant.

The renormalized action must thus take the form

$$S^{(R)}(g, \bar{\psi}, \psi, B) = \frac{1}{2} \int dt d^3x N \left\{ - \left(E^{(R)}{}_A{}^I B_I + \partial_K E^{(R)}{}_A{}^I \bar{\psi}_I \psi^K \right) \delta^{AB} \times \right. \\ \left. \times \left(E^{(R)}{}_B{}^J B_J + \partial_L E^{(R)}{}_B{}^J \bar{\psi}_J \psi^L \right) + B_I F^{(R)I}(g, \partial g) - \bar{\psi}_I \partial_J F^{(R)I}(g, \partial g) \psi^J \right\}. \quad (4.4)$$

Here we recognize the same structure of the stochastically quantized action as in Eq. (3.24). We can therefore reformulate the problem in terms of a Langevin equation:

$$F^{(R)I}(g, \partial g) = \eta^A E^{(R)}{}_A{}^I, \quad (4.5)$$

where η^A is again a white Gaussian noise.

Constraints from time reversal

In order to show that the Langevin equation above satisfies a detailed balance condition as the initial one in Eq. (3.11), we have to make use of another symmetry. Consider the generating functional in Eq. (3.15),

$$Z(J) = \int \mathcal{D}\eta \exp \left[-\frac{1}{4} \int dt d^3x N \left(\eta_I \eta^I \right) + \int dt d^3x N \left(J_I g^I \right) \right]. \quad (4.6)$$

Substituting η in Eq. (3.15) using the Langevin equation in Eq. (3.11), we find that the effective action is given by

$$S(\eta) = \frac{1}{4} \int dt d^3x N \left(\eta_I \eta^I \right) = \frac{1}{4} \int dt d^3x N \left(K^I + G^{IJ} \partial_J S_{\text{cl}} \right) (K_I + \partial_I S_{\text{cl}}), \quad (4.7)$$

where the fields are thought of as functions of η . Expanding the product one can see that the cross term only gives a boundary contribution:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int dt d^3x N K^I \partial_I S_{\text{cl}} &= \int dt d^3x (\dot{g}_{ij} - \nabla_i N_j - \nabla_j N_i) \frac{\delta S_{\text{cl}}}{\delta g_{ij}} = \\ &= \int dt d^3x \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} S_{\text{cl}}(g) + \partial_i \left(N_j \frac{\delta S_{\text{cl}}}{\delta g_{ij}} \right) \right\}, \quad (4.8) \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $S_{\text{cl}}(g)$ preserves the diffeomorphisms of each leaf of the foliation. Similarly in the term $K^I K_I$, the cross term gives only a boundary contribution. We see thus that only terms with two time derivatives remain and the unrenormalized action is *invariant under time reversal*. This property must be preserved under the RG flow.

Starting from the Langevin equation in Eq. (4.5), one can similarly write down the generating functional

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{(R)}(J) &= \int \mathcal{D}\eta \exp \left[-S^{(R)}(\eta) + \int dt d^3x N g^I J_I \right] = \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\eta \exp \left[-\frac{1}{4} \int dt d^3x N F^{(R)}_I(g) F^{(R)I}(g) + \int dt d^3x N g^I J_I \right]. \quad (4.9) \end{aligned}$$

Knowing that in the initial theory $F^I = K^I + G^{IJ} \partial_J S_{\text{cl}}$, up to a rescaling of the noise, $F^{(R)}_I(g)$ can be rewritten as

$$F^{(R)I}(g) = K^I + G^{IJ} \Xi_J(g). \quad (4.10)$$

It follows that the effective action reads

$$S^{(R)}(\eta) = \frac{1}{4} \int dt d^3x N \left(K^I K_I + \Xi^I \Xi_I + 2K^I \Xi_I \right). \quad (4.11)$$

In order to preserve the time reversal symmetry, $K^I \Xi_I$ must be a total derivative, which implies

$$\Xi_I = \partial_I S_{\text{cl}}^{(R)}(g), \quad (4.12)$$

where $S_{\text{cl}}^{(R)}(g)$ must preserve Lorentz invariance on the leaves. Summarizing, we find that after renormalization, the constraints of BRST symmetry and time reversal imply that the time dynamics is still described by a Langevin equation of the same form as in Eq. (3.11):

$$K_{ij} = -\mathcal{G}_{ijkl} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\delta S_{\text{cl}}^{(R)}}{\delta g_{kl}} + \eta_{ab} E^{(R)ab}_{ij}, \quad (4.13)$$

or equivalently, that *the detailed balance structure of the action is preserved under the RG flow*.

In other words, the renormalization properties of the theory in four dimensions are completely fixed by those of the “classical” theory in three dimensions – in this case topologically

massive gravity.

5 Conclusions

Hořava–Lifshitz gravity is a theory of gravity constructed to be renormalizable by power counting, even though at the price of sacrificing Lorentz invariance at short distances. Such a model is clearly relevant for anyone interested in the questions of quantum gravity and has thus generated a large echo. We believe that apart from phenomenological considerations which so far have been largely of classical nature, the fundamental questions concerning the properties of the quantum theory need to be addressed in order to exclude issues of consistency.

In this note, we have studied one such question, the one concerning the renormalization properties of HL gravity beyond power counting arguments. In fact, our results confirm its renormalizability under certain conditions. We make use of the fact that (super) HL gravity can be taken to be the stochastic quantization of topologically massive gravity. Our argument relies on the renormalizability of the latter, which even though not strictly proven, is thought to hold [20].

Our reasoning can be separated into two independent parts:

- using the BRST-invariant formalism of stochastic quantization we prove that the quadratic structure of the action is preserved under the RG flow;
- we observe that theories respecting the detailed balance condition are time-reversal invariant. This further constraints the structure of the action and implies the preservation of detailed balance under the RG flow.

Note that for a theory respecting detailed balance, our proof shows that the renormalization properties entirely depend on the three-dimensional underlying action. Theories in which detailed balance is broken but the quadratic form of the action is retained still receive some protection from BRST invariance. Our construction does not apply directly to theories in which *both* the quadratic structure and detailed balance are explicitly broken.

The properties of HL gravity and its implications are still far from being completely understood and this field presents a large venue for investigation. Many fundamental questions remain to be answered.

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to Simeon Hellerman for enlightening discussions and comments on the manuscript. We would furthermore like to thank the participants of the IPMU string theory group meetings for directing our attention to the question investigated in this note. The

research of the authors was supported by the World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI Initiative), MEXT, Japan.

References

- [1] P. Horava, *Quantum gravity at a Lifshitz point*, *Phys. Rev.* **D79** (2009) 084008, [0901.3775].
- [2] E. Ardonne, P. Fendley, and E. Fradkin, *Topological order and conformal quantum critical points*, *Annals Phys.* **310** (2004) 493–551, [cond-mat/0311466].
- [3] H. Lu, J. Mei, and C. N. Pope, *Solutions to Horava gravity*, 0904.1595.
- [4] E. O. Colgain and H. Yavartanoo, *Dyonic solution of Horava-Lifshitz gravity*, 0904.4357.
- [5] P. Horava, *Spectral dimension of the universe in quantum gravity at a Lifshitz point*, 0902.3657.
- [6] G. Calcagni, *Cosmology of the Lifshitz universe*, 0904.0829.
- [7] E. Kiritsis and G. Kofinas, *Horava-Lifshitz cosmology*, 0904.1334.
- [8] S. Mukohyama, *Scale-invariant cosmological perturbations from Horava- Lifshitz gravity without inflation*, 0904.2190.
- [9] R. Brandenberger, *Matter bounce in Horava-Lifshitz cosmology*, 0904.2835.
- [10] H. Nastase, *On IR solutions in Horava gravity theories*, 0904.3604.
- [11] R.-G. Cai, L.-M. Cao, and N. Ohta, *Topological Black Holes in Horava-Lifshitz Gravity*, 0904.3670.
- [12] T. Takahashi and J. Soda, *Chiral Primordial Gravitational Waves from a Lifshitz Point*, 0904.0554.
- [13] G. Parisi and Y.-S. Wu, *Perturbation theory without gauge fixing*, *Sci. Sin.* **24** (1981) 483.
- [14] P. H. Damgaard and H. Hüffel, *Stochastic quantization*, *Phys. Rep.* **152** (1987), no. 5-6 227–398.
- [15] M. Namiki, *Stochastic Quantization*. Lecture Notes in Physics. Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1992.
- [16] R. Dijkgraaf, D. Orlando, and S. Reffert, *Relating field theories via stochastic quantization*, 0903.0732.

- [17] G. Parisi and N. Sourlas, *Supersymmetric field-theories and stochastic differential-equations*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **206** (1982), no. 2 321–332.
- [18] P. Horava, *Quantum criticality and Yang-Mills gauge theory*, 0811.2217.
- [19] S. Deser, R. Jackiw, and S. Templeton, *Topologically massive gauge theories*, *Ann. Phys.* **140** (1982) 372–411.
- [20] S. Deser and Z. Yang, *Is topologically massive gravity renormalizable?*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **7** (1990) 1603–1612.
- [21] J. Zinn-Justin, *Renormalization and stochastic quantization*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B275** (1986) 135.
- [22] H. Rumpf, *Stochastic quantization of Einstein gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **33** (Feb, 1986) 942–952.
- [23] B. S. DeWitt, *Quantum gravity: The new synthesis*, in *General Relativity* (S. Hawking and W. Israel, eds.), pp. 680–745. Cambridge University Press, 1979.